


COLUMBIA LAW REVIEW



Symposium on Kantian Legal Theory

WHY KANT

George P. Fletcher

LEGAL ENFORCEMENT OF "DUTIES TO ONESELF":
KANT V. NEO-KANTIANIS

J.M. Finnis

KANTIAN ETHICS AND THE HARM PRINCIPLE:
A REPLY TO JOHN FINNIS

David A.J. Richards

LAW AS A KANTIAN IDEA OF REASON

Ernest J. Weinrib

DOES KANT HAVE A THEORY OF PUNISHMENT?

Jeffrie G. Murphy

LAW AND MORALITY: A KANTIAN PERSPECTIVE

George P. Fletcher

EXTERNAL FREEDOM ACCORDING TO KANT

Peter Benson

SERPENTS AND DOVES: A NOTE ON KANTIAN LEGAL THEORY

Thomas C. Grey

THE EMPIRE STRIKES BACK: *ANNESLEY V. SHERLOCK* AND THE
TRIUMPH OF IMPERIAL PARLIAMENTARY SUPREMACY

VAGUE DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS AND THE LIBEL PLAINTIFF'S
BURDEN OF PROVING FALSITY

"HOT GOODS" LIABILITY: SECURED CREDITORS AND THE
FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

VOL. 87

APRIL 1987

NO. 3

COLUMBIA LAW REVIEW

VOL. 87

APRIL 1987

NO. 3

Copyright © 1987 by Directors of The Columbia Law Review Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

CONTENTS

SYMPOSIUM ON KANTIAN LEGAL THEORY

WHY KANT	<i>George P. Fletcher</i>	421
LEGAL ENFORCEMENT OF "DUTIES TO ONESELF": KANT V. NEO-KANTIANS	<i>J.M. Finnis</i>	433
KANTIAN ETHICS AND THE HARM PRINCIPLE: A REPLY TO JOHN FINNIS	<i>David A.J. Richards</i>	457
LAW AS A KANTIAN IDEA OF REASON	<i>Ernest J. Weinrib</i>	472
DOES KANT HAVE A THEORY OF PUNISHMENT?	<i>Jeffrie G. Murphy</i>	509
LAW AND MORALITY: A KANTIAN PERSPECTIVE	<i>George P. Fletcher</i>	533
EXTERNAL FREEDOM ACCORDING TO KANT	<i>Peter Benson</i>	559
SERPENTS AND DOVES: A NOTE ON KANTIAN LEGAL THEORY	<i>Thomas C. Grey</i>	580

NOTES

THE EMPIRE STRIKES BACK: <i>ANNESLEY V.</i> <i>SHERLOCK AND THE TRIUMPH OF IMPERIAL</i> <i>PARLIAMETARY SUPREMACY</i>		593
VAGUE DEFAMATORY STATEMENTS AND THE LIBEL PLAINTIFF'S BURDEN OF PROVING FALSITY		623
"HOT GOODS" LIABILITY: SECURED CREDITORS AND THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT		644