

ZaöRV

Schrift für ausländisches öffentliches
Recht und Völkerrecht

HJIL
Heidelberg Journal
of International Law

Wissenschafts-
Institut
für
ausländisches
öffentliches
Recht
und
Völkerrecht

Aus dem Inhalt

P. Starski:

Right to Self-Defense, Attribution and the Non-State Actor – Birth of the “Unable or Unwilling” Standard? 455

F. M. Palombino:

Compliance with International Judgments: Between Supremacy of International Law and National Fundamental Principles 503

M. Frischhut:

“EU”: Short for “Ethical” Union? The Role of Ethics in European Union Law 531

T. P. Milej:

What Is Wrong about Supranational Laws? The Sources of East African Community Law In Light of the EU’s Experience 579

M. Ney:

Der 2+4-Prozess aus der Sicht des Rechtsberaters 619

S. Dothan:

Luring NGOs to International Courts: A Comment on *CLR v. Romania* 635

M. Garcin:

The Haitian Choiera Victims’ Complaints Against the United Nations 671

3/2015

75. Jahrgang · Seiten 455-705

Inhaltsverzeichnis

Abhandlungen

<i>Starski: Right to Self-Defense, Attribution and the Non-State Actor – Birth of the “Unable or Unwilling” Standard? –</i>	455
<i>M. Palombino: Compliance with International Judgments: Between Supremacy of International Law and National Fundamental Principles</i>	503
<i>J. Frischhut: “EU”: Short for “Ethical” Union? The Role of Ethics in European Union Law</i>	531
<i>P. Milej: What Is Wrong about Supranational Laws? The Sources of East African Community Law In Light of the EU’s Experience .</i>	579

Vorträge und Berichte

<i>J. Ney: Der 2+4-Prozess aus der Sicht des Rechtsberaters.....</i>	619
<i>Dothan: Luring NGOs to International Courts: A Comment on CLR v. Romania</i>	635
<i>J. Garcin: The Haitian Cholera Victims’ Complaints Against the United Nations</i>	671

Right to Self-Defense, Attribution and the Non-State Actor

- Birth of the “Unable or Unwilling” Standard? –

Paulina Starski*

Abstract	456
Cascade of State Involvement in Terrorist Attacks	459
I. The Concept of “Armed Attack” and the Question of Attribution	460
II. Passivity and Omissions as “Armed Attack”	463
V. “Armed Attack” and Attribution	465
VII. The Correlation between Principles of State Responsibility and Attribution within the Context of Art. 51 UNC	466
VIII. Attribution Formulae in the <i>Syrian Case</i>	469
XII. The “Harboring Doctrine”: Birth of the New Attribution Standard of “Unwilling or Unable”?	471
1. Attribution	473
a) Duties with Regard to Terrorist Activities	474
(1) Positive Obligation to Abstain, Prevent and to Protect	474
(2) Duty to Apprehend, Prosecute and Punish – <i>aut dedere aut judicare</i>	477
b) State Responsibility for Omissions: The Question of Fault	478
(1) “Unwilling” – Fault	479
(2) “Unable”: <i>Ultra Posse Nemo Tenetur</i>	481
(3) Concept of Strict Liability	482
(4) Splitting up of the Due Diligence Duty	483
c) Violation of an Obligation as Grounds for Attribution?	483
(1) “Unwilling to Prevent Terrorist Activities”: Violation of Due Diligence Obligation and Art. 51 UNC	484
(2) “Unable to Prevent Terrorist Activities”: Violation of an Obligation?	490
(3) “Unwilling to Prosecute”: Behavior in the Ex-Post Phase as Grounds for Attribution?	491
d) “Qualified Inaction”: Security Council as an Instance of Attribution?	492
2. Limitation on State Sovereignty	494
3. Forceful Actions against Terrorists as Law Enforcement?	495
III. Conclusion	496

* Dr., LL.B., Senior Research Fellow/PostDoc at the Max-Planck-Institute for Comparative Public and International Law in Heidelberg and Lecturer at the Bucerus Law School in Hamburg.