NEW BIBLIOTECA SA

CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY DENIED RECOVERY OF ATTORNEY FEES IN NEV

YORK

Spivak v. Sachs, 16 N.Y.2d 163, 263 N.Y.S.2d 953, 211 N.E.2d 329 (1965)

INDEX-OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL VOLUME 27



400282 61060

Copyright © 1966 by the Ohio State University.

Published quarterly at Columbus, Ohio. Second class postage paid at Columbus, Ohio, and at additional mailing offices. Address all correspondence:

Ohio State Law Journal 1659 North High Street Columbus, Ohio 43210

Subscriptions: \$6.00 per year; \$2.50 per copy.

Reprints: Some reprint copies of articles appearing in past issues are available at one dollar and up.

OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL

Volume 27	Fall 1966 Nun	nber
	CONTENTS	
NATURAL RIGHTS: Con Ervin H. Pollack	NFLICT AND CONSEQUENCE	. 55
Sy	MPOSIUM: POPULATION CONTROL	
Eliminating the United Elyce Zenoff Ferst	fit—Is Sterilization the Answer?	. 59:
WORLD POPULATION P Robert C. Cook	ROSPECTS	634
Abortion and the Portie Law; Some Ne Zad Leavy and Jer	PULATION CRISIS; THERAPEUTIC ABORTION AND EW APPROACHES	647
BIRTH CONTROL AND A Harriet F. Pilpel	New Birth of Freedom	679
Comment		
THE APPLICABILITY OF SUBJECT TO LMRA	STATE ARBITRATION STATUTES TO PROCEEDINGS SECTION 301	692
Recent Developments		
BID SHOPPING RESTRICT People v. Inland B Rptr. 206 (Dist. Ca	TIONS HELD IN RESTRAINT OF TRADE Bid Depository, 233 Cal. App. 2d 851, 44 Cal. t. App. 1965)	719
Free Collective Ba	rute Struck Down for Interfering with argaining	731
A FATHER'S RIGHT TO Commonwealth v. F	Visit His Illegitimate Child	738
MANUFACTURER'S CON Noel v. United Airc	raft Corp., 342 F.2d 232 (3d Cir. 1965)	746

SALA 2
ESTANTE 35

OHIO STATE LAW JOURNAL



NATURAL RIGHTS: CONFLICT AND CONSEQUENCE

Ervin H. Pollack

SYMPOSIUM: POPULATION CONTROL

ELIMINATING THE UNFIT—IS STERILIZATION THE ANSWER?

Elyce Zenoff Ferster

WORLD POPULATION PROSPECTS

Robert C. Cook

ABORTION AND THE POPULATION CRISIS;
THERAPEUTIC ABORTION AND THE LAW;
SOME NEW APPROACHES

Zad Leavy and Jerome M. Kummer

BIRTH CONTROL AND A NEW BIRTH OF FREEDOM

Harriet F. Pilpel

COMMENT

THE APPLICABILITY OF STATE ARBITRATION
STATUTES TO PROCEEDINGS SUBJECT
TO LMRA SECTION 301

Volume 27

Fall 1966

Number 4