University of Miami Law Review

VOLUME 42

MARCH-MAY 1988

NUMBERS 4-5

SPECIAL TOPICS IN THE LAW OF EVIDENCE	
FOREWORD Michael H. Graham	829
THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY: TIME TO TAKE THE FINAL LEAP?	831
THE IMPEACHMENT EXCEPTION TO RULE 407: LIMITATIONS ON THE INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE OF SUBSEQUENT MEASURES Robert K. Harris	901
Uncharged Misconduct Under Rule 404(b): The Admissibility of Inextricably Intertwined Evidence	947
THE ADMISSIBILITY OF FORMER TESTIMONY UNDER RULE 804(b)(1): DEFINING A PREDECESSOR IN INTEREST	975
MANDATORY AND PERMISSIVE PRESUMPTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES: THE MORASS CREATED BY ALLEN	1009
THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT PSYCHOLOGICAL TESTIMONY IN CASES INVOLVING THE SEXUAL MISUSE OF A CHILD	1033
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF EXPERT WITNESSES: DISPELLING THE AURA OF RELIABILITY	1073
RULE 26(b)(4) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE: DISCOVERY OF EXPERT INFORMATION	1101
Paul T. Ryder, Jr.	1101

Nuniversity of 1211111

LAW REVIEW

Z

37.

SPECIAL TOPICS IN THE LAW OF EVIDENCE

Foreword

MICHAEL H. GRAHAM

The Admissibility of Expert Witness Testimony: Time to Take the Final Leap?

The Impeachment Exception to Rule 407: Limitations on the Introduction of Evidence of Subsequent Measures

Uncharged Misconduct Under Rule 404(b): The Admissibility of Inextricably Intertwined Evidence

The Admissibility of Former Testimony Under Rule 804(b)(1): Defining a Predecessor in Interest

Mandatory and Permissive Presumptions in Criminal Cases: The Morass Created by Allen

The Admissibility of Expert Psychological Testimony in Cases Involving the Sexual Misuse of a Child

Cross-Examination of Expert Witnesses:
Dispelling the Aura of Reliability

Rule 26(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: Discovery of Expert Information