Journal of the American (IIII) (AT)

JUDICATURE Society



- ★ 1964 Elections Vindicate Merit Plan

 Editorial
- ★ A Missouri Judge Views Judicial Selection and Tenure

by Elmo B. Hunter

- ★ Variations of The Merit Plan for Judicial Selection and Tenure
- ★ Two Dozen Misconceptions about

 Judicial Selection and Tenure

 by Glenn R. Winters and Bob Allard
- ★ Efficient Organization and Effective Administration for Today's Courts by William J. Brennan, Jr.
- ★ Judicial Selection Reform—
 New York's Unfinished Business
 by Marion P. Ames

Journal of The American **JUDICATURE** Society

Vol. 48, No. 7 December, 1964

GLENN R. WINTERS, Editor

Robert E. Allard, Assistant Editor BIBLIOTECA DE LAD Drestande, Editorial Assistant CORTE. SUPREMA 34.990 NO. DE ORDEN U B I C A C I Ó N

HEMEROTECA SALA BIBLIOTE TABLA CONTENTS

JUSTIC

•	
1964 Elections Vindicate Merit Plan, Editorial	NO.
The Dictatorship of Irrelevancy, Editorial	125
A Missouri Judge Views Judicial Selection and Tenure by Elmo B. Hunter	126
Variations of The Society's Merit Plan, Judicial Selection and Tenure	133
Two Dozen Misconceptions About Judicial Selection and Tenure by Glenn R. Winters and Bob Allard	138
Efficient Organization and Effective Administra for Today's Courts—The Citizens' Responsib by William J. Brennan, Jr.	ility
Judicial Selection Reform— New York's Unfinished Business by Marion P. Ames	150 †
Court Modernization Conferences to Continue	152
Governor and Mayor Appoint Commissions	154
The Herbert Harley Portrait	155
Herbert Lincoln Harley, portrait	156

1964 Elections Vindicate Merit Plan

HE NATIONAL election that swept President Johnson and most of his party's candidates to victory last month was a landslide, a political landslide, to be sure, but it was not the landslide for judicial reform that the 1962 election was. Fewer judicial reform measures went to the voters in this election than in the previous one, and those that did tended mostly to confirm the wisdom of presenting such issues in elections that are not dominated by national politics.

Most conspicuous on that point was the failure of Oklahoma's State Question 415, to establish a "Court on the Judiciary" for discipline and removal of judges, to gain the majority needed for adoption. Long recognized as a need in all states, the Oklahoma proposal had been in the making for two or three years, and the need for it in that state was dramatized during the campaign by the indictment of two appellate court judges on tax evasion charges and the sentencing of one of them to federal prison. The campaign for adoption of the amendment was well conducted by the Oklahoma Institute for Justice, Inc., a citizens' organization established as a follow-up to the "Modern Courts for Oklahoma" conference in December, 1962. Public sentiment supported the proposal, and the vote was in its favor by 397,823 to 370,675. The need for a percentage of all votes cast, however, was a formidable obstacle to overcome. Many thousands of voters voted for politi-