

**ANNUAIRE
DE L'INSTITUT
DE
DROIT INTERNATIONAL**

Session de Cracovie

Vol. 71-I

JUSTITIA ET PACE

2005

Éditions A. PEDONE - PARIS

Table des matières

Differences culturelles et ordre public en droit international privé de la famille

Cmatural Differences and ordre public in Family Private International Law

Rapporteur : Paul Lagarde (9^{eme} commission)

L	Exposé préliminaire et questionnaire (juillet 1998)	13
	1. Inventaire des difficultés	13
	A. Le mariage ou plus généralement le couple	14
	B. Le divorce	15
	C. Filiation et autorité parentale	16
	D. Succession	17
	2. Les valeurs en jeu	18
	A. Protection de l'identité culturelle	19
	B. Principe de laïcité	20
	C. Égalité et non-discrimination	21
	3. Conclusion provisoire	22
	4. Questionnaire	23
	A. Domaine de la résolution	23
	B. Mariage	23
	C. Répudiation	24
	D. Filiation	24
	E. Succession	25
	Réponses et observations des membres de la commission	27
	Réponse de Mme Elisa Pérez Vera, M. Georges Droz, M. Rui Manuel Moura Ramos, M. Erik Jayme et M. Fritz Schwind	
III.	Rapport provisoire (mars 2003, révisé juillet 2004)	43
	Avant-projet de résolution	92
IV.	Réponses et observations des membres de la commission	95
	Réponse de M. Kurt Lipstein, Mr Gonzalo Parra Aranguren, M. Rui Manuel Moura Ramos, Mme Elisa Pérez Vera, M. Pierre Gannagé	
V.	Rapport final (Septembre 2003)	107
	Projet de résolution	113

Les obligations et les droits erga omnes en droit international

Obligations and Rights Erga Omnes in International Law

Rapporteur : Giorgio Gaja (5^{ème} commission)

I.	First Report (March 2002)	
	1. Introduction	119
	2. A definition of obligations Erga Omnes	120
	3. Restricting the scope of the analysis to State obligations Erga Omnes under general international law	122
i	4M-The whom is an obligation Erga Omnes due ?	124
	5* The relations between rules establishing obligations Erga Omnes and Jus Cogens	127
	6* Identifying obligations Erga Omnes	128
	7. Circumstances precluding breaches of obligations Erga Omnes	130
	8. Graves breaches of obligations Erga Omnes	132
	9. Possible existences of a specially affected state in the case of breach of an obligation Erga Omnes	133
	10. Does the State or other Entity that would be specially affected by a breach of an obligation Erga Omnes have a right Erga Omnes?	134
	11. Invocation of responsibility for breaches of obligations Erga Omnes by States that are not specially affected	136
	12. Concurrent invocations of responsibility with regard to breaches of obligations Erga Omnes	138
	13. Judicial settlement of disputes concerning breaches of obligations Erga Omnes	140
%	14. Judicial settlement of disputes concerning connected breaches of obligations Erga Omnes committed by different States	142
	15. Intervention in proceedings concerning breaches of obligations Erga Omnes.	144
	16. Counter-claims in response to claims concerning breaches of obligations Erga Omnes	145
	17. Counter-measures in case of breach of obligations Erga Omnes	146
	18. Is there a duty for States to react to breaches of obligations Erga Omnes?	149
	19. Concluding Remarks	150
II.	Replies and Observations of the Members of the Commission	153
	Reply of Mr Antonio A. Caneado Trindade, Lady Hazel Fox, Mr Christian Tomuschat, Mr Theodor Meron, Mr Karl Zemanek, Mr Vladimir-Djuro Degan, Mr Henry G. Schermers,	

	Mr Jochen Abr. Frowein, Mr Krzysztof Skubiszewski and Sir Kenneth Keith	
III.	Second report (August 2004)	189
IV.	Replies and Observations of the Members of the Commission	203
	Reply of Lady Hazel Fox, Sir Kenneth Keith, Mr Henry Schermers, Mr Antonio A. Caneado Trindade and Mr Karl Zemanek	
La compétence universelle en matière pénale à regard du crime de génocide, des crimes contre l'humanité et des crimes de guerre		
<i>Universal Criminal Jurisdiction With Respect to the Crime of Genocide, Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes</i>		
Rapporteur: Christian Tomuschat (17 ^{ème} commission)		
L.	Preliminary Exposition and Questionnaire (October 2002)	
	A. Introduction	215
	B. Basic concepts	218
	1. Jurisdiction	218
	2. Universal Jurisdiction	220
	3. Criminal Jurisdiction	221
	4. Jus Cogens and Universal Jurisdiction	227
	5. Possible Abuse of Universal Jurisdiction ?	229
	C. The Different International Crimes	230
	1. Genocide	230
	2. Crimes Against Humanity	235
	3. War Crimes	248
	D. Amnesties and Statutory Limitations	254
	E. Provisional Conclusion	258
	Annex	258
	Belgium	258
	France	260
	Germany	261
	Italy	262
	Spain	263
	United Kingdom	263
	F. Questionnaire	264
II.	Replies and Observations of the Members of the Commission	267
	Reply of Mr Yoram Dinstein, Mr Francisco Orrego Vicuña, M. Mohamed Bennouna, Mr Lucius Caflisch, Mr Nisuke Ando, Mr Santiago Torres Bernárdez, M. Jean Salmon, Mr Benedetto Conforti, Mr Christos L. Rozakis, Mr Antonio Cassese, Mr Roy S. Lee	

Letter to the Members of the Commission and Draft Resolution (September 2004)	333
Draft Resolution (September 2004)	334
Replies and Observations of the Members of the Commission Reply of Mr Yoram Dinstein, Mr Roy S. Lee, Mr Francisco Orrego Vicuña, Mr Nisuke Ando, Mr Santiago Torres Bernárdez.	339
Final Report and Draft Resolution (October 2004)	349
Draft Resolution (October 2004)	385