

Human Rights Law Journal · HRLJ

HRLJ

31 December 2010

Vol. 30 (2009-2010) No. 1-12

ISSN 0174/4704

Pages 1-492

Volume 30 (2009-2010)



N. P. Engel, Publisher

e-mail: N.P.Engel@HRLJ.info

Table of contents

1. ARTICLES	Michael O'Boyle, Strasbourg Electoral Disputes and the ECHR: An Overview	1
	Jörg Polakiewicz, Strasbourg European Union Action on Procedural Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights	12
	Helen Keller, Daniela Kühne, Andreas Fischer, Zurich Draft Statute for the European Court of Human Rights – A Contribution to Reforming the Convention System	17
2. DECISIONS and REPORTS		
	International Court of Justice (ICJ), The Hague	
– 22. VII. 10 –	The declaration of independence of Kosovo adopted on 17 February 2008 did not violate international law / Advisory Opinion requested by the General Assembly of the United Nations.....	35
	UN Human Rights Committee (UN-HRCee), Geneva/New York	
– 27. III. 09 –	Protection of minorities, economic aspect / Dispossession of waters used by indigenous people for their traditional activities (raising llamas on the Andean altiplano) / Violation of Article 27 CCPR / <i>Poma v. Peru</i>	63
	African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights (AfCommHPR), Banjul	
– 27. V. 09 –	Government held responsible for massive violations of human and peoples' rights in the Darfur Region / Failure to protect victims of black African origin against constant attacks and bombings, and the rampaging attacks by the Janjaweed militia / Extensive reference to the case-law of the EurCourtHR / <i>Sudan Human Rights Organisation et al. v. The Sudan</i>	67
	European Court of Human Rights (EurCourtHR), Strasbourg	
– 19. II. 09 –	Preventive detention regarding aliens, being undepotable, suspected of involvement in international terrorism (according to the Anti-Terrorism Crime and Security Bill 2001) / UK's derogating measures discriminated unjustifiably between nationals and non-nationals (Article 5 § 1 ECHR violated) / Requirements of a fair hearing (Article 5 § 4 ECHR) never satisfied if the decision is "based solely or to a decisive degree" on closed material / <i>A. et al. v. United Kingdom (GC)</i>	83
– 6. VII. 10 –	Conviction for supplying Iraqi authorities between 1984 and 1988 with a chemical substance used to produce mustard gas / Confirmation of the existence of a norm of customary international law prohibiting the use of poisonous gas as a weapon of war in an international conflict or against a civilian population / Alleged violation of <i>nullum crimen sine lege</i> principle (Article 7 § 1 ECHR) declared manifestly ill-founded / <i>Van Anraat v. Netherlands</i>	121
– 17. XII. 09 –	Retrospective extension of preventive detention (<i>Sicherungsverwahrung</i>) from a maximum of ten years to an unlimited period of time with reference to the risk that the applicant might commit further serious offences / Unlawful deprivation of liberty (Article 5 § 1 ECHR) / Preventive detention under the German Criminal Code to be qualified as "penalty" for the purposes of Article 7 § 1 ECHR (<i>nulla poena sine lege</i>) / <i>M. v. Germany</i>	137
– 9. VI. 09 –	Protection against domestic violence, namely the vulnerable situation of women in south-east Turkey / Fatal injuries sustained by the applicant's mother, authorities' awareness of the perpetrator's history of violence / Violation of the State's positive obligation to protect the applicant's mother's right to life (Article 2 ECHR) / Insensitivity and tolerance shown by State authorities in the face of domestic violence made the applicant feel debased, hopeless and vulnerable (violation of Article 3 ECHR, inhuman treatment) / General and discriminatory judicial passivity to be regarded as gender-based violence (violation of Article 14 ECHR) / <i>Opuz v. Turkey</i>	154
– 9. IV. 09 –	Court's competence <i>ratione temporis</i> / Ratification of the Convention in May 1994, death of the applicants' son in a hospital in June 1993 / Decisive element of "genuine connection" between the death and the proceedings, conducted since 1995 and still pending (criminal proceedings against the doctor and civil proceedings for compensation against both the hospital and the doctor) / Violation of the procedural limb of Article 2 (right to life) / <i>Šilih v. Slovenia (GC)</i>	174
– 28. IV. 09 –	State's positive obligations inherent in effective respect for one's private life / here: eight Roma women's right to make photocopies of their medical records to prove the suspicion that sterilisation was performed on them in hospital during their caesarean delivery / Hospital's refusal violates Articles 6 and 8 ECHR (access to court, and respect for private life) / <i>K.H. et al. v. Slovakia</i>	198

European Court of Human Rights (EurCourtHR), Strasbourg	
- 6. X. 05 – Prisoners’ right to vote / Breadth of the margin of appreciation / Imposition of a blanket restriction on all convicted prisoners in prison / General, automatic and indiscriminate restriction on the right to vote imposed by section 3 of the Representation of the People Act 1983 must be seen as falling outside “any acceptable margin of appreciation, however wide that margin may be” / Violation of Article 3 of Protocol No. 1 / <i>Hirst v. United Kingdom (No. 2)</i>	204
- 27. IV. 10 – Criteria on eligibility to stand for election, an overview under comparative law / Moldova’s special historical and political context / Victim status of the applicant (holding Moldovan and Romanian nationality) confirmed / Provisions of Law no. 273 preventing elected MPs with multiple nationalities from taking seats in Parliament disproportionate / Violation of Article 3 of Protocol No. 1 / <i>Tănase v. Moldova (GC)</i>	220
- 23. XI. 10 – Pilot judgment procedure adopted / Prisoners’ right to vote / here: elections to the European Parliament in 2009 and general elections in the UK in 2010 / Substantial delay in implementing the <i>Hirst</i> judgment rendered in 2005 (see above at p. 204) / UK’s failure to introduce legislative proposals to put an end to the current incompatibility of the electoral law with Article 3 of Protocol No. 1 considered as “a threat to the future effectiveness of the Convention machinery” / Six-month time-limit fixed for the bringing forward of legislative proposals / <i>Greens and M.T. v. United Kingdom</i>	238
- 15. I. 09 – Pilot judgment procedure adopted / Russia required to introduce an effective remedy securing redress for non-enforcement or delayed enforcement of judgments and to grant redress to all victims in pending cases (approximately 700) / Persistent structural dysfunction established / here: problems affecting Chernobyl victims (as in the present case) and other large vulnerable groups depending on payment of pensions, child allowances, compensation for damage sustained during military service or for wrongful prosecution / Adjournment of proceedings on new applications / Redress to be granted in pending cases within one year / <i>Burdov v. Russia (No. 2)</i>	251
- 28. VII. 09 – Pilot judgment procedure adopted / Moldova required to introduce an effective remedy securing redress for non-enforcement or delayed enforcement of judgments and to grant redress to all victims in pending cases (approximately 300) / Persistent structural dysfunction established / here: housing rights or money in lieu under legislation that bestows such rights on a very wide category of beneficiaries at the expense of municipal authorities who claim that they do not have the necessary funds / <i>Olaru et al. v. Moldova</i>	267
- 15. X. 09 – Interpretation of Article 34 ECHR, victim status of the applicant upheld / Application lodged on behalf of the applicant’s sister who died while her constitutional claim concerning the alleged breach of her right to fair trial (Article 6 ECHR) was pending / Change of the Court’s case-law in respect of the applicability of Article 6 ECHR (determination of civil rights and obligations) to injunction proceedings / Lack of statutory right to challenge a judge on the basis of his/her family ties with the party’s advocate violates Article 6 (impartiality requirement) / <i>Micallef v. Malta (GC)</i>	274
- 1. VI. 10 – Interpretation of Article 34 ECHR, victim status of the applicant upheld / Chamber judgment (which denied a violation of Article 3 ECHR due to the applicant’s loss of victim status) reversed / Court’s supervisory function in criminal proceedings / National authorities failed to afford appropriate and sufficient redress for the breach of Article 3 (inhuman treatment, threat to cause the applicant pain in order to extract a statement to save the life of a kidnapped 11 year old boy) / Punishment imposed on State agents considered inadequate / Domestic court’s failure to decide on the merits of the applicant’s compensation claims for more than three years / <i>Gäfgen v. Germany (CG)</i> ..	286
- 1. VI. 10 – Admissibility criteria / Date of lodging an application / Running of the six-month period (Article 35 § 1 ECHR) / Application transmitted by fax / Necessity to submit the original of the application form within the eight-week time-limit set in the Practice Direction on the Institution of Proceedings / Date of postmark / Application declared inadmissible for being out of time / <i>Kemevuako v. Netherlands</i>	312
- 22. I. 10 – <i>Advisory opinion (no. 2)</i> / Legal questions concerning lists of candidates submitted for election as judges of the EurCourtHR / here: Ukrainian list of candidates / Withdrawal not possible after expiration of deadline for submitting the list to the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe	314
European Court of Justice (ECJ), Luxembourg	
- 17. II. 09 – Genetically modified organisms (GMOs) / Public information obligations relating to the deliberate release of GMOs / Location of release can in no case be kept confidential / Public order considerations may not be validly relied upon to oppose the requirements of transparency / <i>Case of Azelvandre</i>	321

European Court of Justice (ECJ), Luxembourg	
- 17. II. 09 – Iraqi nationals' request for temporary residence permits in the Netherlands / Interpretation of Directive 2004/83/EC (on refugee status) with due regard to Article 3 ECHR / Standard of proof regarding "serious and individual threat to a civilian's life ... by reason of indiscriminate violence in situations of armed conflict" / <i>Case of Elgafaji</i>	325
- 9. III. 10 – Obligation to pay for measures remedying environmental damage (contamination of a seabed area in Sicily) / Interpretation of Directive 2004/35/EC / "Polluter pays" principle and environmental liability / Criteria for the presumption of a causal link / <i>Case of ERG (Raffinerie Mediterranee)</i>	328
- 6. VII. 10 – Imports from Argentina of soy meal originating from herbicide resistant "RR soybeans", plants for which Monsanto holds a European patent / Scope of legal protection of biotechnological inventions / Interpretation of Directive 98/44/EC / <i>Case of Monsanto Technology</i>	336
US Supreme Court, Washington	
- 21. I. 10 – Unconstitutionality of federal law restricting financial contributions of corporations applicable during the 2008 Presidential election campaign / here: intended payment of US\$1.2 million to make a film (with a pejorative tenor) available for video-on-demand about then-Senator Hillary Clinton within the last 30 days of the primary election / Scope of the First Amendment (free speech) / <i>Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission</i>	341
House of Lords, London	
- 10. VI. 09 – Control orders (according to the Prevention of Terrorism Act 2005) involving significant restrictions of liberty based on closed material violate fair trial principle (Article 6 ECHR) / Appellants' appeals allowed in response to the EurCourtHR Grand Chamber judgment in <i>A. et al. v. UK</i> [see supra at p. 83] / <i>Secretary of State for the Home Department v. AF et al.</i>	389
Constitutional Court of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Sarajevo	
- 8. V. 10 – Dismissal of a Constitutional Court Judge	407
3. DOCUMENTATION	
Jean-Bernard Marie, Strasbourg	
- 1. I. 10 – International instruments relating to human rights / Classification and status of ratifications	413
European Commission for Democracy through Law (Venice Commission), Strasbourg	
- 15. III. 10 – Opinion on the legal status of religious communities in Turkey and the right of the Orthodox Patriarchate of Istanbul to use the adjective "ecumenical"	435
European Court of Human Rights (EurCourtHR), Strasbourg	
- 23. VI. 08 – Resolution on Juridical Ethics	449
Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe, Strasbourg	
- 19. II. 10 – Interlaken Declaration: High Level Conference on the Future of the EurCourtHR	450
Court of Justice of the European Union (ECJ), Luxembourg	
- 5. V. 10 – Accession of the EU to the ECHR / Discussion document of the ECJ	453
- 1. XII. 09 – Institutional changes regarding the ECJ after the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon on 1 December 2009	454
European Parliament (EP), Strasbourg	
- 19. V. 10 – Institutional aspects of the accession of the European Union to the ECHR	458
4. PENDING PROCEEDINGS	
European Court of Human Rights (EurCourtHR), Strasbourg	
- 6. VII. 10 – Extradition orders to stand trial on terrorism charges in the US entailing detention in a "supermax" high-security prison (ADX Florence, Colorado) / Applications admissible under Article 3 ECHR (inhuman treatment) in respect of the place of detention and the length of possible sentences (applicants facing life-time imprisonment or 50 years' imprisonment, respectively) / <i>Babar Ahmad et al. v. United Kingdom</i>	461
- 7. IX. 10 – Obligation to provide running water / Unpaid water bills / Application communicated to the Government / <i>Radu v. Romania</i>	492