## Human Rights Law Journal · HRLJ

and continuation of The Human Rights Review

HRLJ	Vol. 8 (1987)	 Part 1
ISSN 0174/47(M		Pages 1-244

**ARTICLES** 

## Merger of the European Commission and European Court of Human Rights

Second Seminar on International Law and European Law at the University of Neuchâtel

14-15 March 1986

- Foreword by O. Jacot-Guillarmod	5
- Acknowledgements	8
- Welcome address by J. Cavadini	9
- Reports by S. Trechsel, J. A. Frowein, A. Lester, M. Krafft,	
W. J. Ganshof van der Meersch, G. J. Wiarda, O. Jacot-Guillarmod	11
- Written communications	71
- Debates	100
- General report by F. G. Jacobs	189
- Subject index	197
- List of participants	212
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

## DOCUMENTATION

International instruments relating to human rights / Instruments internationaux relatifs aux droits de l'homme

 Classification and chart showing ratifications as of 1 January 1987

Classification et état des ratifications au 1er janvier 1987 ... by J. B. Marie 217

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pag
Forew	ord
	owledgements
	ome address by Jean Cavadini,
Présid	ent du Conseil d'Etat Neuchâtelois, Conseiller national
REPO	PRTS
	Trechsel (Nos. 1-58), Towards the Merger of the Supervisory Organs:
2. J.	A. Frowein (Nos. 59-104), Assumption of the Functions Specific to the
	. Lester (Nos. 105-138), Merger of the European Commission and the
E	uropean Court of Human Rights from the Perspective of the Applicants
	nd their Legal Representatives
	I. Krafft (Nos. 139 152), Situation and Role of the Committee of Ministers  a System with a Single Judicial Organ
	J.J. Ganshof van der Meersch (Nos. 153–177), Some Views on Measures
	hich could accompany the Merger of the Commission and the Court
	.J. Wiarda (Nos. 178-190), Reflections on the Ways and Means of Solving
	e Problems Arising out of the Merger of the Commission and the Court 5
	Jacot-Guillarmod (Nos. 191-206), Reflections on the Merger of the
	rgans of the Convention in the Perspective of the Intergovernmental ctivities of the Council of Europe
	etrities of the Council of March
wri2	TEN COMMUNICATIONS
8. F	IC. Krüger (Nos. 207-221), The Procedural Position of the Parties before
	Single Convention body
9. L	Betten and J. Korte (Nos. 222-229), A Procedure for Preliminary ulings in the Context of Merger
	A. Alkema (Nos. 230–240), Some Suggestions to Improve the Procedures
	the ECHR Pending the Merger of the Court and the Commission
	I.E. Villiger (Nos. 241–252), The New Court and its Domestic and iternational Context
C	irectorate of Human Rights (Nos. 253–297), The Merger of the European ommission and the European Court of Human Rights: Questions and
	Solutions
	idicial Settlement in the Perspective of Merger
DEBA	ATES
ינונטי	1123
	ng of 14 March 1986
	the chairmanship of Professor J. Guinand,
	Chancellor of the University of Neuchâtel
P	pening address by P. Aubert (Nos. 310-321), Conseiller fédéral, Vice- ésident du Conseil fédéral, Chef du Département fédéral des affaires
15 D:	rangères
	tervention by P. Fifoot (Nos. 337–341), (challenging the justification of
	e "Court option"; need to seek new compromises)
17. In	tervention by P. van Dijk (Nos. 342-356), (support for merger and
re	futation of objections to the reform; in the interim, anticipated aplementation of Protocol No. 8 via the Commission's Rules of Procedure)

18.	Intervention by P. Leuprecht (Nos. 357–362), (need for thorough overhaul of control machinery; avoid a weakening of protection; question to Mr. Trechsel on the possibility of avoiding an amending protocol;
	observation on intervention by Mr. Fifoot)
19.	Intervention by W. Okresek (Nos. 363–369), (is the present two-stage system not more progressive even than the merger?)
<b>2</b> 0.	Reply by S. Trechsel to MM. Fifoot, Okresek and van Dijk (Nos. 370–375).
	Presentation of his report by J.A. Frowein (No. 376)
22.	Intervention by L. Doswald-Beck (Nos. 377–380), (support for merger because of increased judicial coherence; problem of summary procedure; too great influence of the Advocate-General?)
23.	Reply by J.A. Frowein (Nos. 381–383)
24.	Intervention by S. Deniniolle (Nos. 384-386), (what about granting the applicant a full <i>locus standi</i> backed up by a strengthened legal aid scheme?)
	Reply by J.A. Frowein (Nos. 387–390)
26.	Intervention by E.H. Riedel (Nos. 391–395), (need in the perspective of merger for reflection on the implications of Strasbourg rulings in the national context)
27.	Reply by J.A. Frowein (Nos. 396–399)
28.	Reply by S. Trechsel (No. 400)
29.	Intervention by T. Stevens (No. 401), (usefulness of merger as a means of avoiding difficulties encountered by the Committee of Ministers in applying
30	Article 32)
	Intervention by C. Lush (Nos. 403–404), (the practice of the Committee of
	Ministers not so bad as all that)
Uπ	ternoon of 14 March 1986 ider the chairmanship of Professor J. Voyame,
	rector of the Federal Office of Justice
	Presentation by F.G. Jacobs of A. Lester's report (Nos. 405-420)
33.	applicants; need for legal aid from the outset of the procedure)
34.	Intervention by W.J. Balekjian (Nos. 427–428), (the function of filtering
	applications would be facilitated if they were better presented, thanks to assistance at national level)
35.	Intervention by G.E. zur Hausen (Nos. 429–431), (lessons to be drawn for the Strasbourg system from the experience of the Court of Luxembourg: first instance and role of the Advocate-General)
36,	Intervention by J.A. Frowein (Nos. 432-433), (filter function; summary
77	procedure; legal aid system; role of Secretariat)
31.	Intervention by S. Deniniolle (Nos. 434-437), (compulsory assistance of a lawyer; comparison Luxembourg/Strasbourg on establishment and publication of facts; reporting judge; Advocate-General)
38.	Intervention by E.H. Riedel (Nos. 438-439), (creation of national commissions based on British experience)
39.	Written intervention of L.A. Minelli, (Nos. 440-446) (Ideas on reforms which could be introduced in the present conventional framework pending
445	merger)
4U.	Reply by F.G. Jacobs (Nos. 447~452), (legal aid scheme, filter function, comparisons between Luxembourg and Strasbourg)
41	Presentation of his report by M. Krafft (Nos. 453–464)
	Intervention by C. Lush (Nos. 465-478), (examination of recent practice –
	encouraging - of the Committee of Ministers; need to take preventive
	measures to attenuate current crisis)

	Intervention by I. Maier (Nos. 479–486), (need to weigh pros and cons of merger; usefulness of Committee of Ministers for State cases; practice concerning Article 54; filter function; practical implications of merger) Intervention by W.J. Ganshof van der Meersch (Nos. 487–489), (two observations on Krafft report; concept of "step backwards" and reversal of powers of the organs)	142 144
<b>4</b> 5.	Written intervention of A. Auer (Nos. 490-495), (will the institutionalisation of a judicial organ on merger not lead to politicisation of	
46.	case-law?) Written intervention of U. Fasselt-Rommé (Nos. 496-501), (proposal to empower the Commission to open proceedings according to the model of Article 169 of the EEC Treaty)	145 146
<b>4</b> 7.	Written intervention of F. Weiss (Nos. 502–508), (comparison between role of the Committee of Ministers and that of Joint Committees in Free-Trade Agreements between EEC and EFTA)	148
<b>4</b> 8.	Reply by M. Krafft to MM. Ganshof van der Meersch, Lush and Mrs. Maier	149
<b>4</b> 9.	(Nos. 509-515)	151
50.	Intervention by W.J. Balekjian (Nos. 538-542), (vital function of the Committee of Ministers; usefulness of its conciliation functions in silent and invisible proceedings)	155
51.	Intervention by HC. Krüger (Nos. 543-548), (opposite stand to that of M. Eissen)	156
52.	Intervention by W. Kälin (Nos. 549-553), (usefulness of merger given the	
53.	present lack of clarity of certain areas of Strasbourg case-law)	157 158
54.	Intervention by S. Trechsel (Nos. 563-568), (reply to Mrs. Maier and to MM. Lush, Eissen and Kälin)	159
55.	Intervention by J.A. Frowein (Nos. 569–572), (practice of Committee of Ministers on basis of Article 54; reply to intervention by Mr. Lush)	161
	rning of 15 March 1986 der the chairmanship of Professor D. Bindschedler-Robert, Judge at the	
	opean Court of Human Rights, Vice-President of the ICRC	
56.	Presentation of his report by W.J. Ganshof van der Meersch (Nos. 573-599)	162
	Presentation of his report by G.J. Wiarda (Nos. 600-609)	167
58.	Intervention by K. Ahrens (Nos. 610-622), (merger useful as means of	
	simplifying and speeding up procedures; need to preserve credibility of Convention organs; Parliamentary Assembly aware of its responsibilities)	1 <b>7</b> 0
59.	Intervention by R. Linster (Nos. 623–631), (the Assembly can and must	170
	once again be the spearhead for reform of Strasbourg control machinery)	172
	Presentation of his report by O. Jacot-Guillarmod (Nos. 632-652)	174
61.	Intervention by J.L. harpe (Nos. 653-660), (to guarantee real and effective rights necessary to have real and effective institutional machinery; avoid overlapping activities; provide the new institution with adequate material	Į ŠIO
62	resources)	179
47 <b>48</b> +	interpretation of Article 27?; right of individual to refer his case to the Court; powers of Advocates-General; system of chambers in new Court)	181

63.	Intervention by L. Doswald-Beck (Nos. 667-669), (preference for a permanent Court made up of part-time judges; limit to comparisons with
	Court of Luxembourg; Advocate-General)
64.	Intervention by H. Petzold (Nos. 670-677), (need for radical reform of Convention system; danger of paralysis of present system; reform urgent
65.	and needs political support)
	entail in terms of substantive law)
66.	Intervention by T. Stevens (No. 686), (desirability of granting individuals right to refer cases to the Court)
67.	Intervention by HJ. Bartsch (Nos. 687-692), (decisive argument in favour of merger: exclusion of Committee of Ministers from control machinery; criticism of present practice of Committee of Ministers)
GE	NERAL REPORT
68.	F.G. Jacobs (Nos. 693-732), (historical perspective; reasons put forward in support of creation of a single full-time Court; possible alternative solutions; functions of a single Court and arrangements for exercise thereof; drawbacks of such a system; residual functions to be entrusted to the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe; conclusion)
	with seers of the Council of Europe, conclusion)
SU	BJECT INDEX
Í.	Questions relating to the merger
	1. Basic options
	2. Detailed implementation
	3. Powers of a new Court operating full-time
	4. Nature of the Court and allied questions
	5. Status of applicant before the Court
	6. Powers of Advocates-General
	7. Residual powers of Committee of Ministers
	8. Powers of other bodies
	9. Preservation of a "restricted" Commission?
II.	Advantages and drawbacks of merger
	a. Advantages
	b. Drawbacks
Ш.	Pending the merger: transitional reforms
IV.	Other Questions (in alphabetical order)
V.	Index of references to international instruments
T TO	T OF DA DTICIDA NITO
LI2	T OF PARTICIPANTS