

THE FUTURE OF PATENT LAW INSTITUTE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

& Information Law Symposium

ARTICLES

LIBERTY AND PROPERTY IN THE PATENT LAW $John\ R.\ Thomas$

RETHINKING THE UNITED STATES FIRST-TO-INVENT PRINCIPLE FROM A COMPARATIVE LAW PERSPECTIVE: A PROPOSAL TO RESTRUCTURE \S 102 NOVELTY AND PRIORITY PROVISIONS $To shiko\ Takenaka$

TOWARD A CAUTIOUS APPROACH TO OBEISANCE: THE ROLE OF SCHOLARSHIP IN FEDERAL CIRCUIT PATENT LAW JURISPRUDENCE Craig~Allen~Nard

"MAYBE WE SHOULDN'T ARBITRATE": SOME ASPECTS OF THE RISK/BENEFIT CALCULUS OF AGREEING TO BINDING ARBITRATION OF PATENT DISPUTES

Paul M. Janicke

U.S. PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION: SOUND AND FURY . . . ?

Mark D. Janis & Jay P. Kesan

ESSAY

JURIES, PATENT CASES, & A LACK OF TRANSPARENCY
Kimberly A. Moore

NOTES

PATENT INFRINGEMENT BY COMPONENT EXPORT: WAYMARK CORP. V. PORTA SYSTEMS CORP. AND THE EXTRATERRITORIAL EFFECT OF U.S. PATENT LAW Joan E. Beckner

BURDINE V. JOHNSON: THE FIFTH CIRCUIT WAKES UP, BUT THE SUPREME COURT REFUSES TO PUT THE SLEEPING ATTORNEY STANDARD TO REST Kristina G. Van Arsdel

COPYRIGHT 2002

BY THE HOUSTON LAW REVIEW

HOUSTON LAW REVIEW

THE FUTURE OF PATENT LAW

INSTITUTE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & INFORMATION LAW SYMPOSIUM

CONTENTS

PROLOGUE

THE 2002 INSTITUTE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & INFORMATION LAW SYMPOSIUM	567
ARTICLES	
Liberty and Property in the Patent LawJohn $R.\ Thomas$	569
RETHINKING THE UNITED STATES FIRST-TO-INVENT PRINCIPLE FROM A COMPARATIVE LAW PERSPECTIVE: A PROPOSAL TO RESTRUCTURE § 102 NOVELTY AND PRIORITY PROVISIONS	621
TOWARD A CAUTIOUS APPROACH TO OBEISANCE: THE ROLE OF SCHOLARSHIP IN FEDERAL CIRCUIT PATENT LAW JURISPRUDENCE	667
"MAYBE WE SHOULDN'T ARBITRATE": SOME ASPECTS OF THE RISK/BENEFIT CALCULUS OF AGREEING TO BINDING ARBITRATION OF PATENT DISPUTES	693
U.S. PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION: SOUND AND FURY ?	727
ESSAY	
JURIES, PATENT CASES, & A LACK OF TRANSPARENCY	779
Notes	
PATENT INFRINGEMENT BY COMPONENT EXPORT: WAYMARK CORP. V. PORTA SYSTEMS CORP. AND THE EXTRATERRITORIAL EFFECT OF U.S. PATENT LAW	803
BURDINE V. JOHNSON: THE FIFTH CIRCUIT WAKES UP, BUT THE SUPREME COURT REFUSES TO PUT THE SLEEPING ATTORNEY STANDARD TO REST	835