

European Human Rights

Law Review

Issue 1 2023

Articles

Interim Measures as “Weapons of Democracy” in the European Legal Space

Giulia Gentile and Daria Sartori

Why Are “Exit Referendums” Undesirable? The Case of Chile (2020–2022)

Roberto Gargarella

Case Analysis

Life Sentences and Article 3 ECHR in the Extradition Context: Sanchez-Sanchez v United Kingdom

Lewis Graham

The Treatment of Non-Discriminatory, Non-Consensual Sterilisation Under Article 3 ECHR: YP v Russia

Helen Jennings



European Human Rights Law Review

Issue 1 2023

Table of Contents

Editorial	1
Bulletin: Strasbourg	8
Bulletin: EU Charter of Fundamental Rights	13
Articles	
Interim Measures as “Weapons of Democracy” in the European Legal Space <i>Giulia Gentile and Daria Sartori</i>	18
Why Are “Exit Referendums” Undesirable? The Case of Chile (2020–2022) <i>Roberto Gargarella</i>	32
Case Analysis	
Life Sentences and Article 3 ECHR in the Extradition Context: Sanchez-Sanchez v United Kingdom <i>Lewis Graham</i>	40
The Treatment of Non-Discriminatory, Non-Consensual Sterilisation Under Article 3 ECHR: YP v Russia <i>Helen Jennings</i>	48
Case and Comment	
Muhammad v Spain (App. No.34085/17) <i>Zachary Binhaji</i>	56
HF v France (App. Nos 24384/19 and 44234/20) <i>Emy Geronimo Fernandez</i>	58
De Legé v Netherlands (App. No.58342/15) <i>Bethany Grant</i>	63
MT v Sweden (App. No.22105/18) <i>Alexandra James</i>	66
Stancu v Romania (App. No.22953/16) <i>Erla Marnaku</i>	69



Beeler v Switzerland (App. No.78630/12) <i>Soukhanda Kent-Morris</i>	71
Xenofontos v Cyprus (App. Nos 68725/16, 74339/16 and 74359/16) <i>Despoina Mokou</i>	74
Kornicka-Ziobro v Poland (App. No.23037/16) <i>Lena Retsinia</i>	77
Book Review	79