European Human Rights

aw Review

Jonathan Cooper

Issue 4 2004

Opinion

Investigations into Deaths in Police Custody and the Independent Police Complaints Commission

- John Wadham

Articles

"Within the Ambit": How Big Is the "Gap" in Article 14 European Convention on Human Rights

- Robert Wintemute

Self-Censorship among Journalists: A (Moral) Wrong or a Violation of ECHR Law?

- Herdís Thorgeirsdóttir

Ten Years On: The Popularity of the Convention in Poland

- Marie-Bénédicte Dembour and Magda Krzyżanowska-Mierzewska

Beware of Lawyers Bearing Gifts: A Critical Evaluation of the Proposals on Fundamental Rights in the EU Constitutional Treaty

- Stephen Carruthers


Case Analysis

Prisoners and the Right to Vote—The Decision in *Hirst v United Kingdom* (No. 2)

- Steve Foster



EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS



M Review

Issue 4 2004

pages 353-472

EHRLR aims to promote better understanding of European human rights law, and to provide a forum for serious debate on the European Convention on Human Rights. Tailored to the needs of the practitioner and academic lawyers, it carries articles on all aspects of human rights law as well as providing authoritative commentaries on current developments in this field.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINION Investigations into Deaths in Police Custody and the Independent Police	
Complaints Commission John Wadham	353
BULLETIN	362
ARTICLES "Within the Ambit": How Big Is the "Gap" in Article 14 European Convention on Human Rights	
Robert Wintemute	366
Self-Censorship among Journalists: A (Moral) Wrong or a Violation of ECHR Law Herdís Thorgeirsdóttir	?
Ten Years On: The Popularity of the Convention in Poland Marie-Bénédicte Dembour and Magda Krzyżanowska-Mierzewska	400
Beware of Lawyers Bearing Gifts: A Critical Evaluation of the Proposals on Fundamental Rights in the EU Constitutional Treaty Stephen Carruthers	424

CASE ANALYSIS	
Prisoners and the Right to Vote—The Decision in <i>Hirst v United Kingdom (No. 2)</i>	
Steve Foster	136
	430
CASES AND COMMENT	
Assanidze v Georgia	
Kensal v United Kinodom	446
Kensal v United Kingdom	450
THE COUCUET	4 4
Haase v Germany	454
Radio France v France	456
Radio France v France Hirst v United Kingdom	460
Hirst v United Kingdom	463
Azinas v Cyprus	465
BOOK REVIEWS	
BOOK REVIEWS	468

This Review may be cited as: [2004] E.H.R.L.R.

© Sweet and Maxwell Limited, 100 Avenue Road, NW3 3PF (http://www.sweetandmaxwell.co.uk) and contributors 2004

All rights reserved. Crown copyright legislation is reproduced under the terms of Crown Copyright Policy Guidance issued by HMSO.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.

Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

ISSN: 1361 1526