

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS

Review

SIR ROBERT WALKER
THE IMPACT OF EUROPEAN STANDARDS ON THE RIGHT TO
A FAIR TRIAL IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS IN UNITED
KINGDOM DOMESTIC LAW

RABINDER SINGH, MURRAY HUNT AND MARIE DEMETRIOU
IS THERE A ROLE FOR THE "MARGIN OF APPRECIATION" IN
NATIONAL LAW AFTER THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT?

BEN FITZPATRICK AND CLIVE WALKER
HOLDING CENTRES IN NORTHERN IRELAND, THE
INDEPENDENT COMMISSIONER AND THE RIGHTS
OF DETAINEES

KEVIN GRAY AND SUSAN FRANCIS GRAY
CIVIL RIGHTS, CIVIL WRONGS AND QUASI-PUBLIC SPACE

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS

Review

Issue 1 1999

pages 1-138

EHRLR aims to promote better understanding of European human rights law, and to provide a forum for serious debate on the European Convention on Human Rights. Tailored to the needs of the practitioner and academic lawyers, it carries articles on all aspects of human rights law as well as providing authoritative commentaries on current developments in this field.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL	1
OPINION	
The Impact of European Standards on the Right to a Fair Trial in Civil Proceedings in United Kingdom Domestic Law <i>Sir Robert Walker</i>	4
CURRENT TOPIC	
Is there a Role for the "Margin of Appreciation" in National Law after the Human Rights Act? <i>Rabinder Singh, Murray Hunt and Marie Demetriou</i>	15
BULLETIN	23
ARTICLES	
Holding Centres in Northern Ireland, the Independent Commissioner and the Rights of Detainees <i>Ben Fitzpatrick and Clive Walker</i>	27
Civil Rights, Civil Wrongs and Quasi-Public Space <i>Kevin Gray and Susan Francis Gray</i>	46

CASES AND COMMENT

<i>A. v. United Kingdom</i>	103
<i>Lauko v. Slovakia</i>	105
<i>ÖZDEP (Freedom Democracy Party) v. Turkey</i>	106
<i>Steel and Others v. United Kingdom</i>	109
<i>Ahmed and Others v. United Kingdom</i>	112
<i>Laszlo Rekvényi v. Hungary</i>	114
<i>Hertel v. Switzerland</i>	116
<i>Erkalo v. The Netherlands</i>	117
<i>Graham Galloway v. United Kingdom</i>	119
<i>Govell v. United Kingdom</i>	121
<i>Lambert v. France</i>	123
<i>McLeod v. United Kingdom</i>	125
<i>Brian Stevens and Ronald Knight v. United Kingdom</i>	126
<i>Coke and 21 Others v. United Kingdom</i>	130
<i>Lenzing A.G. v. United Kingdom</i>	132
BOOK REVIEWS	135

This Review may be cited as: [1999] E.H.R.L.R.

© Sweet and Maxwell Limited, 100 Avenue Road, NW3 3PF (<http://www.smlawpub.co.uk>) and contributors 1999

All rights reserved. U.K. Statutory material in this publication is acknowledged as Crown copyright.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.

Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

ISSN: 1361 1526