ROPEAN MAN HTS

Review

LORD LESTER OF HERNE HILL, Q.C.
THE ART OF THE POSSIBLE—

INTERPRETING STATUTES UNDER THE HUMAN RIGHT ACT

SIR ANTHONY HOOPER

THE IMPACT OF THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT ON JUDICIAL DECISION-MAKING

DAVID FELDMAN

REMEDIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF CONVENTION RIGHTS UNDER THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

RABINDER SINGH

PRIVACY AND THE MEDIA AFTER THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

JANE MARRIOTT AND DANNY NICOL

THE HUMAN RIGHTS ACT, REPRESENTATIVE STANDING AND THE VICTIM CULTURE

IAN CRAM

AUTOMATIC REPORTING RESTRICTIONS IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS AND ARTICLE 10 OF THE ECHR

Iss 6 196

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS

A Review

Issue 6 1998

pages 663-788

EHRLR aims to promote better understanding of European human rights law, and to provide a forum for serious debate on the European Convention on Human Rights. Tailored to the needs of the practitioner and academic lawyers, it carries articles on all aspects of human rights law as well as providing authoritative commentaries on current developments in this field.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL	663
OPINION The Art of the Possible—Interpreting Statutes under the Human Right Act Lord Lester of Herne Hill Q.C.	665
CURRENT TOPIC The impact of the Human Rights Act on Judicial Decision-making Sir Anthony Hooper	676
BULLETIN	687
ARTICLES Remedies for Violations of Convention Rights after the Enactment of the Human Rights Act David Feldman	69 1
Privacy and the Media after the Human Rights Act Rabinder Single	712

Jane Marriott and Danny Nicol	73 0
Automatic Reporting Restrictions in Criminal Proceedings and Article 10 of the ECHR	
lan Cram	742
CASES AND COMMENT	
McGinley and Egan v. United Kingdom	754
Bronda v. Italy	
Tinnelly & Sons & Others and McElduff and Others v. United Kingdom	758
D.W. v. United Kingdom	761
Ryan v. United Kingdom	
Badrul Miah v. United Kingdom	
Twalib v. Greece	766
Teixeira De Castro v. Portugal	769
Sidiropoulos and Others v. Greece	<i>7</i> 71
National Association of Teachers in Further and Higher Education v. United Kingdom	773
Incal v. Turkey	774
Aerts v. Belgium	<i>7</i> 77
R.C. and A.W.A. and Others v. United Kingdom	780
J.W.A. and Others v. United Kingdom	780
R.C.A. and others v. United Kingdom ,	780
J.E.R. v. United Kingdom	780
K.A. and Others v. United Kingdom	780
Sheffield and Horsham v. United Kingdom	781
DOOK DEVIEWS	707

This Review may be cited as: [1998] E.H.R.L.R.

© Sweet and Maxwell Limited, 100 Avenue Road, NW3 3PF (http://www.smlawpub.co.uk) and contributors 1998

All rights reserved. U.K. Statutory material in this publication is acknowledged as Crown copyright.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.

Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

ISSN: 1361 1526