

Annotated Leading Cases of International Criminal Tribunals

The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia 2009

André Klip and Steven Freeland (eds.)
Anzinga Low (assistant editor)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	5
Preface	7
Part 1—Disclosure and Admission of Evidence	
Decision of Prlic Defence Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlic et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 6 March 2009.	9
Decision on Admission of Evidence Presented During Testimony of Aleksandar Stefanović, Prosecutor v. Šešelj, Case No. IT-03-67-T, T. Ch. III, 12 March 2009	57
Decision on Appellant Radovan Karadžić's Appeal Concerning Holbrooke Disclosure Agreement, Prosecutor v. Karadžić, Case No. 1T-95-5/18-AR73.1, A. Ch., 6 April 2009.	65
Decision on Disclosure of Videos to the Accused, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-T, T. Ch. III, 12 May 2009.	71
Decision on Request for Admission of Selected Portions of Zoran Tot's Evidence pursuant to Rule 92 quarter, Prosecutor v. Šeselj, Case No. 1T-03-67-T, T. Ch. III, 3 June 2009	77
Decision on Motion for Access to Confidential Materials in Completed Cases, <i>Prosecutor v. Karadžić</i> , Case No. IT-95-5/18-PT, T. Ch., 5 June 2009.	83
Decision on the Stojic Defence Motion for the Admission of Documentary Evidence (Cooperation between the Authorities and the Armed Forces of Herceg-Bosna and the Authorities and the Armed Forces of the ABiH), <i>Prosecutor v. Prlič et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 21 July 2009	97
Dissenting Opinion of Judge Antonetti	105
Commentary Bridget Kennedy	110
Part II—Provisional Release	
Decision on the Accused Prlié's Motion for Provisional Release, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlié et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 9 April 2009.	121
Redacted Version of "Decision on Slobodan Praljak's Motion for Provisional Release (2009 Summer Judicial Recess)" of 18 May 2009, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlič et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 25 May 2009	131
Commentary Karin Traunmüller	138
Part III—Various Procedural Matters	
Decision on Prlic Defence Request for Certification to Appeal the Decision of 12 February 2009 Regarding Supplement to the Accused Prlic's Rule 84 bis Statement, Prosecutor v. Prlic et al., Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 4 March 2009	143
Scparate Opinion of Judge Antonetti	147
Decision on a Stoije Defence Request Regarding References to Confidential Decisions Rendered by Other Chambers, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlie et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 23 March 2009	151
Decision Regarding Requests Filed by the Parties for Reconsideration of Decisions by the Chamber, Prosecutor v. Prlič et al., Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 26 March 2009	155
Decision on Motion of Milivoj Petković for New Guidelines, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlič et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 1 April 2009	159

Separate Opinion of Judge Antonetti	169
Decision on Financing of Accused's Defence, <i>Prosecutor v. Šešelj</i> , Case No. IT-03-67-T, T. Ch. III, 23 April 2009	173
Decision on Praljak Defence Notice Concerning Opening Statements under Rules 84 and 84 bis, Prosecutor v. Prlič et al., Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 27 April 2009.	181
Decision on amicus curiae Motion seeking Variance of Protective Measures pursuant to Rule 75, Prosecutor v. Šešelj, Case No. IT-03-67-T, T. Ch. III, 6 May 2009	189
Separate Opinion of Judge Antonetti	192
Decision on Prlic Defence Motion to Reopen its Case, Prosecutor v. Prlic et al., Case No. 1T-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 3 July 2009	193
Commentary Kerstin Bree Carlson.	198
Part IV—Judgements	
Judgement, Prosecutor v. Krajišnik, Case No. IT-00-39-A, A. Ch., 17 March 2009	203
Separate Opinion of Judge Shahabuddeen	404
Commentary Manuel Ventura	438
Judgement, Prosecutor v. Mrkšić and Šljivančanin, Case No. 1T-95-13/1-A, A. Ch., 5 May 2009	451
Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Pocar	571
Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Vaz	576
Commentary Håkan Friman	592
Judgement, Prosecutor v. Lukić and Lukić, Case No. IT-98-32-1-T, T. Ch. III, 20 July 2009	603
Separate Opinion of Judge Robinson.	834
Separate Opinion of Judge Robinson.	834
Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge van den Wyngaert	834
Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge David	838
Commentary Caroline Fournet	851
Contributors and Editors	861