

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS

Review

RUDOLPH BERNHARDT
A TRIBUTE TO ROLV RYSSDAL

PETER DUFFY, Q.C.
A CASE FOR EQUALITY

LORD STEYN
INCORPORATION AND DEVOLUTION:
A FEW REFLECTIONS ON THE CHANGING SCENE

JOHN CRAIG AND NICO NOLTE
PRIVACY AND FREE SPEECH IN GERMANY AND CANADA:
LESSONS FOR AN ENGLISH PRIVACY TORT

ARTHUR CHASKALSON
JUDGING HUMAN RIGHTS IN SOUTH AFRICA

MADELEINE COLVIN AND PETER NOORLANDER
HUMAN RIGHTS AND ACCOUNTABILITY AFTER THE
TREATY OF AMSTERDAM

EUROPEAN HUMAN RIGHTS

CARDEX	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VOGES	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. DATCS	<input type="checkbox"/>
OK	<input type="checkbox"/>
2-1998	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

Law Review

Issue 2 1998

pages 129-241

EHRLR aims to promote better understanding of European human rights law, and to provide a forum for serious debate on the European Convention on Human Rights. Tailored to the needs of the practitioner and academic lawyers, it carries articles on all aspects of human rights law as well as providing authoritative commentaries on current developments in this field.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EDITORIAL	129
A Tribute to Rolv Ryssdal <i>Rudolph Bernhardt</i>	130
OPINION	
A Case for Equality <i>Peter Duffy, Q.C.</i>	134
CURRENT TOPIC	
Incorporation and Devolution: A Few Reflections on the Changing Scene <i>Lord Steyn</i>	153
BULLETIN	157
ARTICLES	
Privacy and Free Speech in Germany and Canada: Lessons for an English Privacy Tort <i>John Craig and Nico Nolte</i>	162

Judging Human Rights in South Africa <i>Arthur Chaskalson</i>	181
Human Rights and Accountability after the Treaty of Amsterdam <i>Madeleine Colvin and Peter Noorlander</i>	191
CASES AND COMMENT	
<i>Rosemary West v. United Kingdom</i>	204
<i>X v. Netherlands</i>	205
<i>Salome Stopford v. United Kingdom</i>	207
<i>Eusabio Santa Cruz Ruiz v. United Kingdom</i>	208
<i>Mahammed Halid Shahzad and K.L. v. United Kingdom</i>	210
<i>Valentine J. Reid v. United Kingdom</i>	211
<i>Serves v. France</i>	213
<i>Keith Hall v. United Kingdom</i>	215
<i>Pierre-Bloch v. France</i>	216
<i>Mark Anderson and Nine Others v. United Kingdom</i>	218
<i>Wirtschafts-Trend Zeitschriften-Verlagsgesellschaft m.b.H. v. Austria</i>	220
<i>Grigoriades v. Greece</i>	222
<i>Johnson v. United Kingdom</i>	224
<i>Patrick Benjamin and Hueth Wilson v. United Kingdom</i>	226
<i>K.-F. v. Germany</i>	228
<i>Josef Szrabger and Walther Clarke v. United Kingdom</i>	230
<i>E.L.H. and P.B.H. v. United Kingdom</i>	231
<i>P.L. v. Ireland</i>	232
<i>Theodor (Dora) Roetzheim v. Germany</i>	235
<i>The National & Provincial Building Society, The Leeds Permanent Building Society and The Yorkshire Building Society v. United Kingdom</i>	236

This Review may be cited as: [1998] E.H.R.L.R.

© Sweet and Maxwell Limited, 100 Avenue Road, NW3 3PF (<http://www.smlawpub.co.uk>) and contributors 1998

All rights reserved. U.K. Statutory material in this publication is acknowledged as Crown copyright.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature without prior written permission, except for permitted fair dealing under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, or in accordance with the terms of a licence issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency in respect of photocopying and/or reprographic reproduction.

Application for permission for other use of copyright material including permission to reproduce extracts in other published works shall be made to the publishers. Full acknowledgment of author, publisher and source must be given.

ISSN: 1361 1526