

(b) The <i>Taba</i> alternative . . . . .	311
(c) Alternatives in the <i>1989 Arbitral Award</i> case . . . . .	316
(d) The Award . . . . .	319
3. The judicial phase of the <i>1989 Award</i> . . . . .	323
(a) Conceptions of arbitral roles . . . . .	324
(b) Jurisdiction . . . . .	326
(c) Changing conceptions of the role of the Court . . . . .	340
(i) The appellate division . . . . .	342
a. The Censorialists . . . . .	343
b. Partial appellants . . . . .	346
c. Full appellants . . . . .	353
d. Operational appellants . . . . .	355
(d) Conception of the role of the arbitrator . . . . .	356
(e) The types of challenges that may be brought against an award . . . . .	359
(f) The final act . . . . .	369
E. Enforcement of awards supported by the International Court of Justice	371
<b>Chapter IV. The future of supervisory jurisdiction . . . . .</b>	<b>375</b>
A. Methods . . . . .	375
B. Arbitration and adjudication: the political dimension . . . . .	376
C. Change in the Court . . . . .	377
D. Trends and implications . . . . .	378
E. A more general judicial supervisory role . . . . .	391
F. A counsel of restraint . . . . .	393

1. Types of inoperable clauses . . . . .	147
(a) Aspirational clauses and <i>pacta de contrahendo</i> . . . . .	147
(b) Contingently operable clauses . . . . .	149
(c) Pathological clauses . . . . .	150
(d) Explicitly defeasible clauses . . . . .	151
(e) Implicitly defeasible clauses or "soft clauses" . . . . .	152
2. The ILC <i>projet</i> . . . . .	156
3. Policy issues . . . . .	157
4. The case law of the International Court. . . . .	158
(a) <i>Peace Treaties</i> . . . . .	158
(b) <i>Ambatielos</i> . . . . .	164
(c) The <i>Headquarters Opinion</i> . . . . .	168
5. Policy appraisal . . . . .	175
(a) Defeasible clauses and reservations to jurisdictional commitments . . . . .	177
(b) Defeasible clauses and soft law . . . . .	180
E. Competence to decide preliminary questions . . . . .	185
1. Existence of a dispute . . . . .	187
(a) <i>Peace Treaties</i> . . . . .	188
(b) <i>Ambatielos</i> . . . . .	194
(c) <i>Headquarters</i> . . . . .	198
2. Determining jurisdiction <i>ratione materiae</i> . . . . .	203
(a) <i>Peace Treaties</i> . . . . .	203
(b) <i>Ambatielos</i> . . . . .	204
(c) <i>Headquarters</i> . . . . .	206
3. Policy appraisal . . . . .	207
F. Ordering arbitration . . . . .	208
1. <i>Headquarters</i> . . . . .	208
2. <i>Free Zones</i> . . . . .	209
G. Recusal challenges . . . . .	214
H. Political suspension of arbitral commitments . . . . .	215
1. <i>Anglo-Iranian Oil Co.</i> . . . . .	215
2. <i>Lockerbie</i> . . . . .	216
Chapter III. International supervision in the post-arbitral phase . . . . .	221
A. The problem . . . . .	221
1. The general role of the Court in this phase . . . . .	224
2. The contingencies for operation of the role . . . . .	229
3. The grounds for supervision . . . . .	230
B. <i>Société Commerciale de Belgique</i> . . . . .	233
C. <i>Arbitral Award of the King of Spain</i> . . . . .	253
1. The constitutive issue of jurisdiction . . . . .	271
2. Scope of review . . . . .	276
3. Grounds for supervisory jurisdiction . . . . .	284
4. Appraisal . . . . .	286
D. The 1989 Arbitral Award case . . . . .	290
1. Arbitral decision régimes . . . . .	291
2. The arbitral phase of the 1989 Award . . . . .	296
(a) The role of the party-appointed arbitrators . . . . .	304

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction . . . . .	23
A. Purpose of the lectures . . . . .	23
B. Method . . . . .	25
C. The social imperatives of supervisory jurisdictions . . . . .	26
D. Supervisory mechanisms . . . . .	28
E. The need for supervisory jurisdictions in contemporary international law . . . . .	31
F. Supervisory policies . . . . .	34
1. Policies with respect to the identity of the supervisory entity . . . . .	35
2. Policies with respect to the operation of the supervisory entity . . . . .	35
Chapter I. Adjudication and international arbitration . . . . .	39
A. The basis of arbitration . . . . .	39
B. The phases of arbitration . . . . .	40
C. Public international arbitration . . . . .	41
D. The creation of the Permanent Court of International Justice . . . . .	43
E. International courts as international supervisory mechanisms for arbitration . . . . .	43
F. The League initiative . . . . .	45
G. Professor Scelle's <i>projet</i> and the ILC draft . . . . .	47
H. Some differences between arbitration and adjudication . . . . .	49
I. Conclusion . . . . .	55
Chapter II. International supervision in the pre-arbitral phase . . . . .	57
A. Competence and vocation . . . . .	57
1. Inherent limitations on competence . . . . .	57
2. Toward a more affirmative sense of vocation . . . . .	63
3. Conclusion . . . . .	71
B. Contingent appointment procedures . . . . .	71
1. The Permanent Court . . . . .	79
2. The International Court . . . . .	85
(a) <i>Anglo-Iranian Oil Co.</i> . . . . .	91
3. The legal basis and functions of contingent appointments . . . . .	116
(a) President or Court? . . . . .	120
C. Politically assigned supervisory functions . . . . .	121
1. Assignments by the Security Council . . . . .	122
(a) Jammu and Kashmir . . . . .	125
(b) <i>Anglo-Iranian Oil Co.</i> . . . . .	128
(c) <i>Lockerbie</i> . . . . .	128
2. Interventions by the General Assembly . . . . .	129
(a) <i>Peace Treaties</i> . . . . .	129
(b) <i>Headquarters</i> . . . . .	145
3. Conclusion . . . . .	145
D. Dealing with inoperable clauses . . . . .	147

ACADEMIE DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL  
FONDÉE EN 1923 AVEC LE CONCOURS DE LA  
DOTATION CARNEGIE POUR LA PAIX INTERNATIONALE

# RECUEIL DES COURS

COLLECTED COURSES OF THE HAGUE  
ACADEMY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

1996

*Tome 258 de la collection*



1997

MARTINUS NIJHOFF PUBLISHERS  
The Hague/Boston/London