

LAW AND CONTEMPORARY PROBLEMS

IS THE JURY
COMPETENT?

Neil J. Vidmar

Special Editor

SCHOOL OF LAW • DUKE UNIVERSITY

VOL. 52

AUTUMN 1989

NO. 4

LAW AND CONTEMPORARY PROBLEMS

DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA

VOLUME 52

AUTUMN 1989

NUMBER 4

IS THE JURY COMPETENT?

NEIL J. VIDMAR
Special Editor for this Symposium

	page
FOREWORD	<i>Neil J. Vidmar</i> 1
ARE JURIES COMPETENT TO EVALUATE STATISTICAL EVIDENCE?	<i>William C. Thompson</i> 9
EYEWITNESS EXPERT TESTIMONY AND JURY DECISIONMAKING	<i>Steven D. Penrod</i> 43 <i>Brian L. Cutler</i>
LEGAL DOCTRINES GOVERNING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY CONCERNING SOCIAL FRAMEWORK EVIDENCE	<i>Robert P. Mosteller</i> 85
JURIES AND EXPERT EVIDENCE: SOCIAL FRAMEWORK TESTIMONY	<i>Neil J. Vidmar</i> 133 <i>Regina A. Schuller</i>
THE JURY'S RESPONSE TO BUSINESS AND CORPORATE WRONGDOING	<i>Valerie P. Hans</i> 177
ARE TWELVE HEADS BETTER THAN ONE?	<i>Phoebe C. Ellsworth</i> 205
ON JURIES AND DAMAGE AWARDS: THE PROCESS OF DECISIONMAKING	<i>Edith Greene</i> 225
BLINDFOLDING THE JURY	<i>Shari Seidman Diamond</i> 247 <i>Jonathan D. Casper</i> <i>Lynne Ostergren</i>
THE QUESTION OF JURY COMPETENCE AND THE POLITICS OF CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM: SYMBOLS, RHETORIC AND AGENDA-BUILDING	<i>Stephen Daniels</i> 269
ENHANCING JUROR EFFECTIVENESS: AN INSURER'S PERSPECTIVE	<i>Judyth W. Pendell</i> 311
THE AETNA ARTICLE: A COMMENT	<i>Stephen Daniels</i> 323

NOTE: RETHINKING CRIMINAL JOINDER: AN ANALYSIS OF THE EMPIRICAL RESEARCH AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR JUSTICE	<i>James Farrin</i>	325
NOTE: SOCIAL SCIENCE FINDINGS AND THE JURY'S ABILITY TO DISREGARD EVIDENCE UNDER THE FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE	<i>Lisa Eichhorn</i>	341